

G1

- **It's totally against the Ealing commitment on zero carbon.** This is huge block of concrete and steel. Its impact will be huge immediately and its use of energy will be huge for lifts and cooling as it will overheat in summer.
- **Its high density is far too high.** The proposal is nearly massively above the current maximum density recommendation for the site.
- **It will destroy my TV signal.**
- **This is excessive development** of a tiny site there are already huge numbers of small flats in this area.
- **It is not really affordable.** The definition of affordable has been stretched; nobody on benefits could afford this.
- **Poor design.** The flats are so small they are on the edge of what's legally allowed.
- **The site is not a tall building site.** This site is clearly unsuitable for a tall building. It's twice as tall as tallest building in West Ealing and sits at the edge of residential and conservation areas.
- **Massing.** Putting this number of flats on a very small site creates a horrible structure that dominates and spoils the local environment.
- It will have **terrible impact on surrounding mainly residential environment.** Its ugly, overshadowing, will result in loss of light.
- **Loss of privacy.** It will overlook hundreds of gardens and look down into loft bedrooms.
- **Destroying heritage.** It would destroy views from St Stephen's Conservation Area and out of keeping with locally listed Sorting Office, Stowells Corner, Drayton Court Hotel and neighbouring Victorian two storey homes.
- Having 144 homes so close to a major **Crossrail station** will interfere with that station; just the likely deliveries could cause major problems.
- **Bad disabled access.** Only one blue badge space for 15 wheelchair accessible flats and no provision for support services visiting (carers, doctors etc.)
- **Failure on amenity space.** There is little or no usable amenity space. The balconies are likely to be too noisy to use. The roof garden would be noisy and is unsafe.